

SAN DIEGO, CA 92122

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/835,209 04/13/2001 Blake Johnson 26893-6085 6106 33123 11/15/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 HELLER EHRMAN LLP BASHORE, ALAIN L 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE #700 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 7TH FLOOR

> 1762 DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/835,209	JOHNSON, BLAKE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alain L. Bashore	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .	
	FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 2,6-9,11,15-18,21 and 25-28 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 2,6-9,11,15-18,21 and 25-28 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	s alastian raquiromant	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)	🗖	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Re-issue of previous office action

The previous office action is re-issued to provide for timely reconsideration with second level review in Class 705. Any change in status because of second level review response obtained before the 3 month statutory time period expires will be communicated to applicant.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 6-9, 11, 15-18, 21, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWolf et al in view of LeGraw.

DeWolf et al discloses a method of determining business entity ownership interest allocation in response to a data set. A contribution value is calculated for the contributor transactions to indicate the contribution of each transaction to the value of

the business entity, using at least one performance metric (para 0011-0012). At least one award rule is determined for each contributor (para 0013).

DeWolf et al does not disclose determining contributor transaction classes into which transaction of contributors with a business entity are assigned.

LeGraw discloses determining contributor transaction classes into which transaction of contributors with a business entity are assigned (para 0007, 0009, 0010).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include determining contributor transaction classes into which transaction of contributors with a business entity are assigned because LeGraw teaches valuation as important to ownership (para 0003).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments appear to be convincing. The previous rejection is maintained pending second level review.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Regarding all Class 705 applications, the management contact regarding examination is: Vincent Millin (SPE, art unit 3624) at 571-272-6747.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762